(20)

Secrt. II-27—58,004—27-10-47.

HOME **(1948) Department.**

Para 4-(3)

Ms. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. Ms. 4622

Dated 15th December. 1948

[Despatch Abstract.]

COURTS - Civil - South Kanara district - Appointment of additional subordinate Judge, Mangalore - Further retention - Sanctioned.

H.Ct. No. 4—2,002—16-8-37.

R.O.C. No. 6943/48-D2.

From K.N.Ganpati, Esq.,B.A.,Bar-at-law,
Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Home Department, Madras(with enclosures)

9566 inf 12/11

Dated, Madras, the 8th November '48.

Subject: Courts - Civil - South Kanara district - Appointment of an additional Sub Judge Mangalore Continuance - Recommended.
Ref: G.O.Ms.4693 Home Department dated 19-12-47.

12 f.c Y Sir,

I am to forward for orders of Government a copy of letter No. 486/48 dated 20-10-48 from the District Judge South Kanara on the above subject. The Hon'ble the Judges recommend the continuance of the additional Sub Judge Mangalore and staff up to the closing of the Court for Summer-recess 1949.

13 & infra

2. Necessary provision has been made in the current year's budget and in the draft budget for 1949-50 for the retention of the additional officer and staff.

3. In this connection I am to forward the letter dated 27-9-48, from the Managing Director of the Canara Industrial and Banking Syndicate Ltd., Udipi, addressed to the Hon'ble the Minister for Law, regarding the establishment of a Sub Court at Udipi. As the work in this district does not warrant the establishment of another Sub-Court and as the existing additional Sub Judge at Mangalore will not be continued for long, their Lordships consider that it is not feasible to establish a Sub Court at Udipi.

13-15 infra

Ends 4.

REGISTRAR.

PAG

Copy of letter No.A.486/48 dated 20-10-48 from the District Judge South Kanara to the Registrar, High Court, Madras.

Sub:- Courts - Civil - Appointment of Additional Sub-Judge to Sub-Court, Mangalore - Continuance - Extension of term of sanction - Report recommending extension - Submitted.

Ref:- High Court Roc.No.6943 of 1948-D2 dated 27-9-1948.

With reference to the above communication, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. An Additional Sub-Judge was first sanctioned to this Court in G.O.No.5579, Home Department, dated 8-4-1943 for a period of six months from the re-opening of that Court after the summer recess, i.e.,from 15-6-1943. The term of sanction of the Additional Sub-Judge, as last extended in G.O.Ms.No.4693, Home Department, dated 19-12-1947, is due to expire on 14-12-48.

3. When the Additional Sub-Judge began to function in the Sub-Court on 15-6-1943, the pendency of Original suits stood at 93(20 old) and of appeals in the District as a whole stood at 1013 - 439 in the District Court and 574 in the Sub Court. The pendency of appeals has by now been reduced to normal proportions, the pendency on 30-9-1948 being 290 - 238 in the District Court and 52 in the Sub-Court. The pendency of Original suits in the Sub-Court, however, increased considerably in 1944 and has remained at a high level since then in spite of the efforts of the two officers to reduce the file to the normal. The unusually heavy institution of suits in the recent years has stood in the way of any substantial reduction in the pendency of Original suits. The statement appended hereto shows at a glance the state of the file of appeals in the District and of Original suits in the Sub-Court, South Kanara during the year 1943 and the years following.

I. APPEALS.

	Up to 15-6-1943	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948 Up to 30-9-48.
Instituted	161	426	459	516	511	502	330
Disposed of:-							
(1) in Dt. Court	54	249	224	206	189	152	68
(2) in Sub-Court	72	394	475	448	411	366	269
Total	126	643	699	654	600	518	338
Pending at the end of the year	1013	765	528	393	323	299	290
No. of old appeals pending.	871	566	287	141	49	69	22

II. ORIGINAL SUITS.

Instituted	41	114	109	106	173	197	124
Disposed of	27	92	88	125	189	183	143
Disposed of after full trial.	18	57	54	80	121	107	80
Pending at the end of the year.	93	111	144	138	135	168	157
No. of old suits pending	20	20	51	44	9	16	31

4. A provisional recommendation for the continuance of the Additional Sub-Judge for the full term already sanctioned, i.e. up to 14-12-1948, was made in this Court's report No. A.142/48 dated 11-8-1948 in reply to High Court Roc.No.1754/48-D2 dated 16-3-1948. It was also stated in that communication that a further report will be made

aid to assessing the need for the continuance of the additional officer for some time beyond 14-12-1948, a comparative statement of figures for suits and other proceedings as on 1-10-1947, 31-12-1947, 25-7-1948 and 1-10-1948 is appended herebelow. The last extension was sanctioned on the basis of figures as on 1-10-1947 and this Court's report No.A.142/48 dated 11-8-1948 is based on the figures as on 25-7-1948 whereas the figures for 31-12-1947 give an indication of the state of file at the end of the last calendar year, not far from the date of expiry of the previous term of sanction(14-12-1947).

Nature of proceeding.	Pendency on			
	1-10-1947.	31-12-1947.	25-7-1948.	1-10-1948.
Original Suits	168	168	164	157
Small Cause suits	31	32	48	31
Civil Appeals	189	140	128	52
Civil Miscellaneous Appeals	33	6	25	8
Original Petitions	20	8	16	18
Original Petitions relating to Land Acquisition Cases	3	1	19	16
Sessions Cases	3	-	6	2

5. Except in the matter of appeals, the above statement reveals no appreciable difference in the present state of file of the Sub-Court from that of 1-10-1947, when a recommendation for the extension of the term of sanction by one year beyond 14-12-1947 was made and accepted. The low pendency of appeals in that court on 1-10-1948 is due to the fact that no appeals were transferred to that court from the District Court in recent months. While the pendency of Original suits has decreased by 11 suits the pendency of Land Acquisition Cases has increased by 13 from 3 to 16. This is due to the unusually heavy institution of Land Acquisition Cases this year, as many [redacted] been taken on file during the current year uo to

30-9-1948 as against only 3 during the whole of last year. Land Acquisition Cases being practically in the nature of Original Suits are likely to add appreciably to the work of the Sub Court, thereby interfering to some extent with the disposal of suits and other proceedings.

6. The number of Sessions Cases coming up for trial this year is as high, if not higher than last year. Up to 30-9-1948 this year, 39 Sessions Cases have been taken on file as against only 47 in the whole of the previous year, thus contributing not inconsiderably to the volume of work for disposal by the District Court and to some extent by the Sub-Court.

7. In view of all the circumstances stated above, I am of opinion that there is adequate work in the Sub-Court for two officers at present and for some time to come. Though the appellate file has been reduced considerably, the O.S. file, which as a result of heavy filings in 1946 and thereafter assumed large proportions notwithstanding larger disposals during those years, has not yet shown any definite tendency to decrease. Added to that, the unusually heavy institution of L.A.Cs. during the current year has tended to impede the pace of reduction of arrears. There is, therefore, sufficient reason to continue the additional officer for at least a year beyond 14-12-1948. I, therefore, request that the High Court may be pleased to recommend the extension of the term of sanction of the Additional Sub-Judge by a year beyond 14-12-1948 when the present term of sanction is due to expire.

8. A statement in duplicate showing the institutions disposals and pendency for the superior Courts of this District for 1947 and for the current year up to 30-9-1948 is enclosed.

(True copy)

P.A.G

C. No. 95616 E/48.

Home Department

Courts - Civil - South Kanara Dt. - Appointment of an addl. sub judge, Mangalore - Continuan

---

From the High Court's R.O.C. No. 6943/48-D2 dt. 8-11-48

The post of an addl. sub judge, Mangalore was first sanctioned in G.O. No. 5579, Home, dt. 8-6-1943. The post was continued from time to time and the last sanction is recorded in G.O. Ms. No. 4693, Home, dated 19-12-1947 expires on 14-12-1948. The Dt. Judge, South Kanara now requests that the term of the addl. sub judge may be extended by a year beyond 14-12-48. The Hon'ble the Judges recommend the continuance of the addl. sub judge, Mangalore and staff upto the closing of the Court for summer recess, 1949.

2. The following statement shows the state of work in the Sub Court, Mangalore since 19

Year	Original Suits				Small Cause Suits				Appeals.			
	Drs	Dis	Dis Aft	Pen	Dis	Dis	Dis Aft	Pen	Drs	Dis	Dis Aft	Pe
1945	100	125	80	138(44)	126	124	31	39		448	448	19
1946	178	189	121	135(9)	134	130	35	26(5)	406	411	411	185
1947	197	183	107	168(16)	116	113	34	32(7)	338	376	369	140
1948 upto 30-9-48	124	143	80	157(31)	89	91	26	21(11)	181	269	269	5
1948 whole year roughly.	177				127							

It will be seen from the above statem that the probable institutions under O.S. in 1948 likely to be as much as it was in 1946, wh

those under S.C. suits are likely to be on a level with that in 1945. The disposals are fairly satisfactory. The pendency under O.S. on 30.9.48 is greater than in the previous years except in 1947, while that under S.C. suits is on a level with that in 1947. The Dt. Judge has stated that the unusually heavy institution of suits in the recent years has stood in the way of any substantial reduction in the pendency of original suits, that Land Acquisition Cases being practically in the nature of original suits are likely to add appreciably to the work of the sub Court, thereby interfering to some extent with the disposal of suits and other proceedings; and that the number of sessions cases coming up for trial has contributed not inconsiderably to the volume of work for disposal by the Dt. Court and to some extent by the Sub Court. R, p. 3 of para 5, pp 5-6 of

3. The pendency in the Sub Court, Mangalore in the basis of which the addl. sub judge was first sanctioned and later on continued and the pendency on 30.9.48 are shown below:-

Date	O.S.	S.C. Suits	Appeals
15.7.42	89(34)	77	228
30.9.45	149(52)	38	228
30.9.46	154(20)	29(5)	188(42)
30.9.47	168(24)	31(7)	189(31)
30.9.48	157(31)	31(11)	52(2)

para 3 of (4)

A - p. 11 of

On the basis of the standard outturn of 50 O.S. after full trial fixed for the Sub judge, Mangalore since abolished the pendency of ~~157(31) O.S.~~ 157 O.S. (31) old on 30.9.48 alone represents work for more than a year for single officer irrespective of subsequent institutions. for orders whether in the circumstances the recommendation of the High Court may be accepted. (E) (f)

4. Necessary provision has been made in current year's budget and in the draft budget for para 2, p 1 of

1949-50 for the retention of the addl. officer and staff.

5. The High Court has forwarded a letter dt 27-9-48 from the Managing Director of the Canara Industrial and Banking ~~Corporation~~ Syndicate Ltd., Udipi addressed to the Hon'ble The Minister for Law in which they request that a Sub Court may be established at Udipi for the reasons that through the contacts with public they have had through their 25 offices in the Coondapoor revenue division that they were able to know the public opinion which keenly feels the urgent necessity for locating a permanent sub court at Udipi that will serve the three taluks of Udipi, Coondapoor and Karkal comprising the division which practically represents the Northern half of the South Kanara Dt, that Udipi is the central place in the division, the second important town in the whole of South Kanara Dt, with ~~two~~ Head Offices of Scheduled Banks, Insurance Companies a Transport Company and more than 25 other limited companies ~~are situated~~ and that the litigant of Udipi and Coondapoor taluk who have now to travel a long distance by bus and many rivers to cross before reaching Mangalore the inconvenience of the journey by bus being too well known would consider the location of a Sub Court at Udipi as a great relief. The High Court has stated that as the work in the ~~District~~ does not warrant the establishment of another sub Court and as the existing addl. sub judge at Mangalore will not be continued for long their Lordships consider that it is not feasible to establish a sub court at Udipi. This dept. may accept the High Court's view and the Syndicate informed accordingly when final orders issue in this file.

Pp 13-15 of

p. 13 of

ibid

ibid

para 3, p. 1 of

M 6/9 S/

E E.I

6. May be sent to finance for remarks.

28/11/48 29.11.48 30/11/48



Memo no 95616-E/48
30.11.48

Finance (E)

The proposals in this file are

(1) Continuance of the additional subjudge with the necessary establishment at Mangalore sanctioned vide ~~Sec~~ No 4693 Hom dated 19.12.47, and

(2) the establishment of a sub-Court at Udipi

Para 1 on p 1 above

(Y)

Para 5 on p 3 above

2 Item (1) above The need for the continuance of the additional sub judge with establishment has been explained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Home note on pp 1-2 above In the circumstances, this department may agree to the continuance of the post addl subjudge with establishment at Mangalore being sanctioned for a further period of one year from 18-12-48

2 months a/c of pre-sanction beyond the 1 yr sanction

Item (2) above As for the establishment of a sub Court at Udipi, High Court has stated that the work in that district does not warrant the establishment. In the circumstances, their ~~recommendation~~ supported by Home may be ~~accepted~~ agreed to

Para 3 on p 1 of

With the above remarks the case may be returned to Home

2/12/48

DS

Home for Home

Fin no 102675 E.I
4/12/48

From the High Court:Roc No 6943/48-D2 dated 29-11-48.

....

Please see the notes of the Finance department on page 4 supra.

2. The High Court has forwarded a representation from the President, Bar Association, Udipi addressed to the H.M. for Courts and Prisons requesting that a separate court may be established at Udipi. As already pointed out, the Hon. the Judges have stated that it is not feasible to establish a sub court at Udipi. This department agreed with the recommendation of the High Court. Finance also agree.

3. A draft order and a draft letter are submitted for approval.

4. The case may be circulated to H.Pr. and H.M. (Agriculture).

M. Put up a clean copy of Draft II.

8/12

Both the drafts may issue.

9.12.48

HOME SECRETARY
15 DEC 1948
GOVT. OF MADRAS

G.P.R
H Pr 13/12

H.M (Agri) 14/12

(Issued as Ms. M. 4622. Home, dt 15.12.48)

(Issued as Lr./Rej. 9561 6 E/48-2 dt 15.12.48).

Statement showing the Judge's Court Business of the State of Andhra from 1-1-1948 to 30-9-1948.

Names of presiding officers.

Period presided over From

Sri S. Narasimhulu, B.A.
Principal Subordinate Judge. — 1-1-1948 — 30-9-1948

Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty, B.A., B.
Additional Subordinate Judge. — do. — do.

Nature of proceedings.	Instituted.	Disposed of. After full trial.	Disposed of. Total	Pending. Total.	Pending. Old.
Original Suits.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		36	77		
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty		44	66		
Total	124	80	143	157	31
Small Cause Suits.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		--			
Sri K. R. Krishnaiah Chetty		26	90		
Total	89	26	91	31	11
Regular Appeals.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		135	135		
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty		134	134		
Total	181 (by transfer)	269	269	52	2
Civil Miscellaneous Appeals.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		18	18		
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty		17	17		
Total	37 (by transfer)	35	35	8	
Execution Petitions.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		--	272		
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty		--	67		
Total	361	--	339	134	
Original Petitions (relating to Land Acquisition Cases)					
Sri S. Narasimhulu	48	6	33	16	9
Original Petitions (relating to other matters)					
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty	30	12	20	18	7
Insolvency Petitions.					
Sri K.R.Krishnaiah Chetty	18	17	30	6	

T.O.

Nature of proceedings	Instituted.	Disposed of. After full trial	Disposed of. Total.	Pending. Total.	Pending. Old.
Sessions Cases.					
Sri S. Narasimhulu		10	10		
Sri K.R. Krishnaiah Chetty		7	7		
Total	19 (by transfer)	17	17	2	2

District Court, South Kanara,
Mangalore, 20th October, 1948.

C Krishnamurthy
District Judge.

T 1 0-9-2
13

THE CANARA INDUSTRIAL & BANKING SYNDICATE LTD

[INCORPORATED IN BRITISH INDIA

Head Office: UDIPI.

27th September 1948.

The Honourable Minister for Law,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

Through The District Judge of South Kanara,
MANGALORE.

Respected Sir,

In forwarding herewith a true copy of the resolution recently passed by our Directors concerning the location of a Sub-Court at Udipi, we beg to state that this Bank, a Scheduled Bank under the Reserve Bank of India Act, has its Head Office at UDIPI and 84 Offices spread throughout South India, in the Provinces of Madras and Bombay and the States of Mysore, Cochin Travancore and Hyderabad, 25 out of these being in the Coondapoor Revenue Division of the South Kanara District and through the contacts we have had with the public in the Coondapoor Division, we have been able to know the public opinion which keenly feels the urgent necessity for locating a permanent Sub-Court at Udipi, that will serve the 3 Taluks of Udipi, Coondapoor and Karkal comprising the Division which practically represents the Northen half of the South Kanara District. Udipi is the central place in the Division, the second important town in the whole of South Kanara District, i.e. next only to Mangalore, and also a rapidly growing town where the Head Offices of, two Scheduled Banks of the District, an Insurance Company, a Transport Company and of more than 25 other limited Companies are situate, not to speak of the several business firms functioning here and the long standing eight Mutts owning large landed properties. Litigants from the Coondapoor Division, especially the Udipi and Coondapoor Taluks, have now a long distance to travel by bus and many rivers to cross before reaching Mangalore for their Court work. The inconveniences of the bus journey between Mangalore and the places in this Division are too well known and even the accommodation for stay in Mangalore is scanty and costly unlike in UDIPI. The public of the Coondapoor Division will consider it a great relief if a permanent Sub-Court is located at UDIPI instead of having two Sub-Courts at Mangalore as at present and earnestly req

the Government of Madras to grant their prayer and redress a long-felt want. The New Sub-Court, it is felt, will have sufficient original and appellate work from the three -- Munsiff's Court already functioning in the Division, viz. at Coondapoor, Udipi and Karkala.

Yours respectfully

TD/-

MANAGING DIRECTOR.

The Canara Industrial & Banking Syndicate, Ltd.

(INCORPORATED IN BRITISH INDIA. LIABILITY OF SHARE-HOLDERS LIMITED.)

Head Office: UDIPI, S. India.

ADDRESS
ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO THE
MANAGING DIRECTOR

27th September 1948.

RESOLUTION OF THE DIRECTORS.

Move for a Sub-Court at Udipi.

"Resolved that the Government be addressed through the District Judge, South Kanara, Mangalore, to locate one permanent Sub-Court at UDIPI which is a centre of the Coondapoor division comprising the three Taluks of Karkal, Udipi and Coondapoor which will provide sufficient original and appellate work from the division to the new Court".

(True Copy)

SECRETARY.

E

R.O.C.No.6943/48-D2.

10175 E2 4/12

From

K.N.Ganpati Esquire, B.A., Bar-at-law.,
Registrar, High Court, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Home Department,
Fort St. George, Madras (with enclosure 1).

HOME DEPARTMENT
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
4 DEC 1948

Dated 29th November 1948.

Sir,

Sub: Courts - Civil - South Kanara district - establishment of a Sub Court at Udipi - representation from the Bar Association, Udipi.

Ref: My letter R.O.C.6943/48-D2 dated 8th November 1948.

I am to forward a representation from the President, Bar Association, Udipi addressed to the Hon'ble the Minister for Courts and Prisons. As pointed out in my letter cited, the Hon'ble the Judges consider that it is not feasible to establish a Sub Court at Udipi.

K N Ganpati
REGISTRAR

SV.

G.O.No.7163 dt. 19

Udipi
10-11-48

From

The President,
Bar Association, Udipi.

To

Sri.K.Madhava Menon,
Hon'ble Minister for Courts & Prisons
to the Government of Madras,
Madras.

Through

The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras,
Madras.

Through

The District Judge of South Kanara,
Mangalore.

Sir,

The Bar Association, Udipi, has resolved, at its meeting held on 8-11-48, to submit the following representations for favour of your kind consideration and necessary action.

At present there are two Sub-Courts in this District, one permanent and the other additional which is as good as permanent, and both are located at the District Head-quarters at Mangalore. The Civil and Criminal work of the District is such that two Sub-Courts will be an absolute necessity as is clear from the continuance of the additional Sub-Court at Mangalore for the last several years.

But, as both the Sub-Courts are at Mangalore, the litigant public of the Coondapore Division, consisting of three taluks of Udipi, Coondapore and Karkal, practically forming the northern half of the District, are put to great hardship and inconvenience in the matter of their litigation.

The Coondapore Division comprises 325 villages with an area of about 1500 Sq.miles and a population of about 6½ lakhs topography of the District is such that, to go to Mangalore fro the Coondapore Division, people have to cross several rivers un circuitous and more costly route is taken. In the rainy season these rivers are heavily flooded. Partly due to this and partly due to insufficiency of motor communications and inadequacy of s able accommodation at Mangalore, it is very difficult for the lit gant of the Coondapore Division to go to, and remain at, Mangalore for purposes of their litigation, so much so, that in very many cases, they are compelled either to content themselves with the decisions of moffusil courts, even if they are dissatisfied with them, or to leave their cases in the hands of their lawyers at Mangalore, at times without full instructions, with the result that in such cases justice is practically denied to them.

The Civil and Criminal work [redacted] from Coondapore Divisio even at present and with all the aforesaid handicaps, is not incon siderable. But, if there is a Sub-Court in the Division itself, the work will be much more. Apart from this, on account of the propose Alyasanthana Reforms and the jurisdictional value in partition su

as well as in suits for possession of portions of sub-divisions, the Sub-Court work will considerably increase, in which case, if there is no Sub-Court in the Coondapore Division, the litigant public will be put to still greater hardship and inconvenience.

A Sub-Court for Coondapore Division being thus an absolute necessity, the question is where it can be conveniently had in the Division so as to benefit the majority of its litigant public.

The Association feels that Udipi isthe best fitted for the location of a Sub-Court for the Coondapore Division. It is a growing Muncipality and is centrally situated. Besides having a Munsiff's Court, Taluk Office, Magistrate's Court, Sub-Registrar's Office etc, it is the Head-quarters of eight Mutts and several Banking concerns. It has a Sanskrit College and seven High Schools with two Government Training Schools in & around it. Further within a distance of about three miles there is the Malpe harbour which is considered to be the best natural harbour in the west coast and which is likely to be developed into a major port.

While, therefore, a Sub-Court at Mangalore can conveniently serve the southern half of the District consisting of the three taluks of Mangalore, Kasargod and Puthur, Mangalore being the geographical centre of the southern half of the District, a Sub-Court at Udipi will likewise serve the northern half of the District consisting of the three taluks of Udipi, Coondapore and Karkal.

Under these circumstances it is prayed that the Government will be pleased to establish a Sub-Court at Udipi for the benefit of the Coondapore Division.

Yours faithfully

President, Bar Association
Udipi.

C.No.95616-E/48.

Home Department.

G.O.Ms.No. 4622 dated 13-12-48

Courts - Civil - SOUTH KANARA district - Appointment of addl. subordinate judge. Mangalore - Further retention - Sanctioned.

Read: G.O.Ms.No.4693, Home, dated 19.12.1947

From the High Court, ROC.No.6943/48-D2, d/8.11.48

ORDER:

His Excellency the Governor of Madras sanctions the continuance upto the closure of the court for the summer recess, 1949, of the appointment of the addl. subordinate judge, Mangalore with the establishment, the retention of which was last sanctioned in G.O.Ms.No. 4693, Home, dated 19.12.1947.

2. The expenditure should be met from the appropriation under "27.f administration of Justice - B. Mufassal Civil and Sessions Courts - Regular Establishments - Pay of Officers and Pay of Establishments Voted."

To the Hon. the C.J.
Dt.Judge, S.Kanara
A.G. thro' the
Finance Dept.

120+122
15/12

Home Department.

DRAFT.II.

Letter/Ref.No. 95-616-E/48-2 Dated. 18-12-48

To

The Managing Director,
The Canara Industrial & Banking Syndicate Ltd.
Udipi.

The President, Bar Association, Udipi. 20/12/48

Sir,

Sub: Courts - Civil - South Kanara District - Establishment of a permanat Subordinate Judge's Court at Udipi.

Ref: Your Letter No.G.7.6262/48 dated 27-9-48.
Your letter dated 10-11-1948.

I am directed to say that the Government agree with the Hon. the Judges of the High Court that as the work in the South Kanara district does not warrant the establishment of another subordinate judge's court and as the addl. Sub Judge at Mangalore will not be continued for long it is not feasible to establish a Sub Court at Udipi.

COPY to the Hon. the C. High Court, Madras. 20/12/48

S.V. 4/12
R.S. 5/12
C.S.D. 6/12